

**(F)(2) Ensuring successful conditions for high-performing charter schools and other innovative schools** (40 points)

The extent to which—

- (i) The State has a charter school law that does not prohibit or effectively inhibit increasing the number of high-performing charter schools (as defined in this notice) in the State, measured (as set forth in Appendix B) by the percentage of total schools in the State that are allowed to be charter schools or otherwise restrict student enrollment in charter schools;
- (ii) The State has laws, statutes, regulations, or guidelines regarding how charter school authorizers approve, monitor, hold accountable, reauthorize, and close charter schools; in particular, whether authorizers require that student achievement (as defined in this notice) be one significant factor, among others, in authorization or renewal; encourage charter schools that serve student populations that are similar to local district student populations, especially relative to high-need students (as defined in this notice); and have closed or not renewed ineffective charter schools;
- (iii) The State's charter schools receive (as set forth in Appendix B) equitable funding compared to traditional public schools, and a commensurate share of local, State, and Federal revenues;
- (iv) The State provides charter schools with funding for facilities (for leasing facilities, purchasing facilities, or making tenant improvements), assistance with facilities acquisition, access to public facilities, the ability to share in bonds and mill levies, or other supports; and the extent to which the State does not impose any facility-related requirements on charter schools that are stricter than those applied to traditional public schools; and
- (v) The State enables LEAs to operate innovative, autonomous public schools (as defined in this notice) other than charter schools.

*In the text box below, the State shall describe its current status in meeting the criterion. The narrative or attachments shall also include, at a minimum, the evidence listed below, and how each piece of evidence demonstrates the State's success in meeting the criterion. The narrative and attachments may also include any additional information the State believes will be helpful to peer reviewers. For attachments included in the Appendix, note in the narrative the location where the attachments can be found.*

Evidence for (F)(2)(i):

- A description of the State's applicable laws, statutes, regulations, or other relevant legal documents.
- The number of charter schools allowed under State law and the percentage this represents of the total number of schools in the State.
- The number and types of charter schools currently operating in the State.

Evidence for (F)(2)(ii):

- A description of the State's approach to charter school accountability and authorization, and a description of the State's applicable laws, statutes, regulations, or other relevant legal documents.
- For each of the last five years:
  - The number of charter school applications made in the State.
  - The number of charter school applications approved.
  - The number of charter school applications denied and reasons for the denials (academic, financial, low enrollment, other).
  - The number of charter schools closed (including charter schools that were not reauthorized to operate).

Evidence for (F)(2)(iii):

- A description of the State’s applicable statutes, regulations, or other relevant legal documents.
- A description of the State’s approach to charter school funding, the amount of funding passed through to charter schools per student, and how those amounts compare with traditional public school per-student funding allocations.

Evidence for (F)(2)(iv):

- A description of the State’s applicable statutes, regulations, or other relevant legal documents.
- A description of the statewide facilities supports provided to charter schools, if any.

Evidence for (F)(2)(v):

- A description of how the State enables LEAs to operate innovative, autonomous public schools (as defined in this notice) other than charter schools.

*Recommended maximum response length: Six pages*

F2(i) *Description of Laws, Statutes, and Regulations.* In 1995, the New Hampshire legislature enacted the Charter Schools and Open Enrollment Act (RSA 194-B), which authorized the creation of public charter schools in New Hampshire. The stated purposes of the law were to:

- Promote and encourage the establishment and operation of charter and open enrollment schools;
- Encourage school districts to allow public charter and open enrollment schools;
- Encourage the establishment of public charter schools with specific or focused curriculum, instruction, methods, or target pupil groups;
- Improve pupil learning and increase opportunities for learning;
- Exempt charter schools from state statutes and rules, other than where specified, to provide innovative learning and teaching in a unique environment;
- Enhance professional opportunities for teachers;
- Establish results-driven accountability for public charter schools and require the measurement of learning;
- Make school improvement a focus at the school level;
- Encourage the establishment of public charter schools that meet the needs and interests of pupils, parents, communities, regions, and the state as a whole.

Under this law, all charter schools are open enrollment schools. They may not restrict enrollment to a particular school district or region.

In the original statute, the approval process required the local school district's legislative authority to vote to allow proposals for charter schools to be presented and to review applications for completeness. Locally-approved charter school applications were submitted to the State Board of Education for consideration. When approved by the State Board, schools were to be granted a five-year charter after ratification by a vote of the local school district's legislative authority.

From 1995-1999, the Board of Education granted five-year charters to six charter schools. Of these, one was approved by the local school district; the others did not receive local approval. The single locally approved charter school was unable to open because of funding issues.

*Number and Types of Charter Schools Operating in the State.* In 2003, the New Hampshire General Court amended the charter school law to create a ten-year pilot program authorizing the Board of Education to grant up to twenty state charter school applications during that period. The State Board of Education's approval of an application constituted the granting of charter status and the right to operate as a public charter school. To date, 16 charters have been granted via this program, additional approvals have been suspended through 2011 due to budget constraints. Although this limit exists for state-authorized charter schools, there is no statutory limit on the number of LEA-authorized charter schools.

As of fall 2009, fifteen out of 16 applications for charter schools have been authorized by the State Board of Education (see Appendix F for list of current operating charter schools); one of which is dually certified by the State Board and its LEA. The unsuccessful bid was brought by an out-of-state group that had gathered little information about the constituency they strove to educate. A seventeenth school has been authorized by its LEA and plans to open in the fall of 2010. Eleven state-authorized charter schools are currently in operation, while three have closed due to lack of students or financial problems and one never opened (see Appendix F for list of closed charter schools) and one will open in the fall of 2010. This represents \_\_\_ percentage of the total number of schools in the State.

New Hampshire currently ranks 41<sup>st</sup> in population among the 50 states. It is a small, rural state with numerous northern regions that are sparsely settled due to their mountainous nature. The number of charter schools that can flourish in this setting is lower than in more populous states, and its charter schools face more challenges without the economies of scale often available to charter schools in more populous states.

Despite these challenges, the State has been particularly successful in serving high-needs students at the high school level in charter schools. Each region in the state has a charter school, and together they demonstrate that offering project-based, competency-based, arts-based, or STEM-based learning can be effective means to retain or reclaim disengaged students or students on the periphery.

F2(ii) *Authorization.* After reviewing applications to ensure that a proposed school meets the criteria set forth in RSA 194-B, the State Board of Education authorizes the establishment of state-approved and LEA charter schools.

*Accountability.* As the agent of the authorizer, the Office of Accountability of the New Hampshire Department of Education is required to carry out the monitoring duties outlined in the charter legislation. Staff members responsible for charter school oversight assure compliance with charter school reporting requirements, assess and provide feedback on the clarity and measurability of the school’s accountability plan goals, including academic goals, and communicate school performance and progress to the State Board of Education.

Charter schools must submit quarterly and annual progress reports to the Department, which enable it to monitor each school’s academic performance (based on statewide assessments and other performance-based measures), its responsible use of public funds, and its likelihood of sustainability throughout the term of the charter (see Table \_\_ below). The school’s progress reports include descriptions of its attainment of the objectives related to the school’s mission – goals related to unique organizational structures, specific program designs and, most important of all, the impact of the school’s design on student academic achievement. Evidence of organizational sustainability and financial responsibility are additional components of the school’s progress reports.

**Table \_\_. Timeline for Accountability Checks in Years 1-4**

<b>Years 1 through 4</b>	<b>Charter School Submits:</b>	<b>NHDOE Conducts:</b>
December 1 of opening year	Accountability plan	Review and feedback on measurability of goals
Fall reports:	Staff qualifications	Compliance check
October 1	Enrollment	
October 15	Health, fire, and safety	

	inspection reports  Evidence of insurance coverage  Calendar of instructional days	Compliance check  Compliance check  Review to determine compliance with state requirements
Monthly (or as defined in charter)	Board minutes	Review to assure effective governance practices
Quarterly  November 15  January 30  April 15  June 30	Progress toward school goals    Financial report	Compare with targets in accountability plan    Check to see if complies with standards
Annually (August 1)	Year-end summary of school performance	Review and verification as needed to assess performance
End of Year Reports (August 1)	Attendance, graduation, etc.	
Annually (September 30)	Independent financial audit	Request for action if any material defects

The Department of Education conducts annual assessments of a school's progress, based on quarterly and annual progress reports submitted by charter schools to the Department. Department staff assesses evidence submitted by the school as to whether the charter school has met, failed to meet, or exceeded the targets defined in its annual accountability plan. To assure

the accuracy of its audit, the Department may corroborate and augment information submitted by the school through interviews with stakeholders, site visits and, requests for confirming documents. Once completed, the Department shares feedback to the school.

At least yearly, the Department is required to report on the status of charter schools to the State Board of Education. This report includes information, gleaned from quarterly and annual reports, on the schools' academic progress, compliance with state and federal regulations, adherence to governance rules for public schools, evidence of development of a sustainable organization, and financial accounting practices that meet accepted standards for public education agencies and organizations.

Four questions, and several subsidiary questions, serve as a consistent framework for assessment of charter schools. Charter schools respond to these questions in quarterly and annual reports, and the questions form the basis for the annual reviews and the five-year charter renewal process.

- Is the school making progress toward achieving its mission?
  - What progress has the school made toward its academic goals as defined in its accountability plan?
  - What progress has the school made toward its programmatic goals?
  - What progress has the school made toward its organizational goals?
- Is the school responsibly using public funds?
  - Has the school provided quarterly financial reports that comply with acceptable standards of public school accounting?
  - Do the school's purchasing and billing practices meet acceptable standards for public school accounting?
  - Has the school provided an annual external audit with no material defects?
  - Do the school's quarterly financial reports demonstrate reasonable and prudent planning?
  - Do the school's Board minutes indicate clear communication of accurate information about the school's financial condition?
- Is the school promoting student attainment of expected knowledge and skills?



- Are the students at the school meeting proficiency standards as measured by state assessments?
- Are students at the school making progress toward meeting state proficiency standards?
- Are the students at the school meeting credible internally defined measures of proficiency (see also question 1 – school-defined academic goals)?
- Are students making progress toward any non-academic goals that the school has volunteered in its accountability plan?
- Is the school sustainable?
  - Does the school’s governing board function effectively and in accordance with public meeting laws and regulations?
  - Has the school established systems to manage operations efficiently?
  - Are there systems in place to assure instructional quality?
  - Has the school established an appropriate relationship with the local LEA to facilitate high quality services to students with special educational needs?
  - Are physical facilities safe, clear, and suited to the purposes of the school?
  - Is the school psychologically and emotionally safe for children and adults, free from intimidation and bullying?
  - Does the school employ teachers who meet state requirements for experience and/or certification?
  - Does the school demonstrate an ability to retain skilled and qualified staff?
  - Do parents report satisfaction with the school in areas of academic programming, school-family interactions, and accurate and timely communication?

*Renewal.* The charter school law defines the conditions for renewal of a New Hampshire Public Charter School:

*By the end of its final contract year, the charter school shall meet or exceed the objective academic test results or standards and goals as set forth in its application. If the school does not meet these results or standards and goals, it shall not be eligible for its charter.*

In assessing a charter school's attainment of performance targets for renewal, the Department considers the school's cumulative performance over the last five years. In the event that a school is not eligible for renewal, arrangements will be made to bring the school's operations to an orderly termination in accordance with the charter school law. Consideration would be given to discontinuing school operations in a way that is least disruptive to students and families.

The New Hampshire Board of Education may revoke a charter before the end of its term in accordance with RSA 194-B. Some of the major factors that could lead to early revocation include extraordinary risk to students, materials violations of the charter, financial instability, or legal violations.

F2(iii) *State's Applicable Statutes, Regulations, or Other Relevant Documents on Equitable Funding.* In 1995, RSA 194-B required each charter school pupil's resident school district to pay to the charter school an amount equal to at least 80 percent of that district's average cost per pupil for the prior fiscal year. The current charter school law retains this funding requirement for charter schools approved by the local school district. In addition, the current charter school law provides that charter schools that are eligible for grants "shall match funds provided by the state through private contributions in order to receive funding that exceeds the state's average per pupil cost for the grade level weight of the pupil."

In the December 1997 *Claremont II* ruling, the New Hampshire Supreme Court found that the State has a duty to provide an adequate education to all public school students. In response to this ruling, the General Court enacted the "State Aid for Educational Adequacy" system providing annual grants to cities, towns, and unincorporated places to fund an adequate education for public school students residing in each municipality. The New Hampshire Department of Education is responsible for determining the annual "adequate education" payments, which are based on a series of calculations that are designed to limit state aid to towns with the greatest need. Since charter schools are open enrollment schools, the General Court required that a flat tuition amount per pupil, or "adequacy payment," follow each student enrolled in a charter school approved by the Board of Education under the pilot program. In FY 2010 (?), the State allocated a \$3,450 adequacy payment for each student in the state. Charter schools in the New

Hampshire Charter School Pilot program receive an additional \$2,000 per student, or \$5,450 per student.

F2(iv) *Funding for Facilities*. The State does not provide funding for charter school facilities. It does currently provide funding to local districts at a 28-42 percent rate, but a reversal of this policy is currently under consideration by the legislature.

F2(v) *Innovative, Autonomous Public Schools*. The New Hampshire Legislature is currently considering proposed legislation (an addition to RSA 189:24 Standard School) that would enable the establishment of non-standard schools. These alternative schools would utilize innovative practices and flexible scheduling to meet the unique needs of individual students. They would be open enrollment schools that have the flexibility to define their instructional models and associated curriculum, select and replace staff, and implement new structures and formats for the school day or year.